IT Security in Secondary CS Education: Is it missing in Today’s Curricula? A Qualitative Comparison
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ABSTRACT
There is a steady increase of digital networking in our world, e. g. in the form of “smart” devices as part of the internet-of-things or more recently with daily video conferences. This progress is accompanied by weekly media reports on security incidents affecting the IT we use on a daily basis. In order to provide orientation in this seemingly “insecure” digital world, it is necessary for every digital citizen to have a basic knowledge of the field of IT security. Current curricula for secondary CS education already try to cover some aspects of IT security – however, practical reports suggest that some important security-related topics have not yet been considered. Based on this assumption, we use a Qualitative Content Analysis to compare international as well as German secondary school curricula with an up-to-date body of knowledge of IT security to identify the gap to knowledge areas in current curricula.

Our results show that there is not only a lack of more in-depth topics, e. g. involving newer technologies, like internet-of-things security, the knowledge for the development of secure software – but also human factors in IT security are hardly considered in any curriculum. For further research, the results support the adjustment of relevant IT security concepts for secondary CS education.

CCS CONCEPTS
• Social and professional topics → K-12 education; • Security and privacy → Social aspects of security and privacy.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Media reports about IT security incidents, such as data leakage of credit card information, websites going offline due to hacking attacks, or the urgent request to update software because of an exploited vulnerability have become part of everyday life. However these security related topics do not appear to be sufficiently covered in today’s curricula: Recent practical reports involving secondary schools’ teachers tell that even though teenagers are said to be “tech-savvy” and tend to view hacking as “glamorous”, they still lack relevant knowledge and expose themselves accidentally to online vulnerabilities [5]. Thus, we address the following research questions:

(1) What aspects regarding IT security are represented in current CS curricula (guidelines) for secondary CS education?
(2) What is the difference in topics concerning IT security between current curricula (guidelines) and foundational knowledge areas from an academic and industrial point of view?

Existing work in this area, addresses only IT security for post-secondary education: A study conducted by Hallet et al. revealed quite different foci on IT security in different curricula [3]. However, this work does not provide any implications for CS curricula in secondary education.

2 METHODOLOGY
In order to explore the questions, we adopted the research approach of a Qualitative Content Analysis by Mayring [4], which combines both qualitative and quantitative aspects. More specifically, the analysis performed by Grillenberger & Romeike for the field of data management [2] set an example for this security related investigation.

For our analysis we have chosen a broad variety of German and international secondary CS curricula and curricula guidelines in their recent version, which we will refer to as named in the following (given in the same order as in Figure 1):

• K12: CSTA, K-12 Computer Science Standards (revised 2017)
• GI: German Informatics Society, Recommended Educational Standards for lower (2008) as well as upper secondary education (2016)
• EPA: KMK, Uniform testing standards for CS in German high schools (“Einheitliche Prüfungsanforderungen in der Abiturprüfung“, 2004)
• EPA (ν): KMK, Uniform testing standards for vocational informatics in German high schools (2007)
• BE, BY, HH, HE, NW, RP: German secondary CS curricula for Berlin-Brandenburg, Bavaria, Hamburg, Hessen, North Rhine-Westphalia, Rhineland-Palatinate
• AT: Austrian Curriculum for secondary CS education (“Allgemeinbildende Höhere Schule”, 2018)
• CAS: Computing at School Curriculum (2012)
The chosen deductive category system for our content analysis is the Cybersecurity Body of Knowledge (CyBOK), which aims to be a community resource being developed with consultations from academia as well as industry; its 19 knowledge areas, which are organized in five broader categories, are intended as foundational IT security basis for designing secondary to post-graduate courses [6].

Since our analysis aims to provide a broad outline of the current situation of IT security in secondary CS curricula, we coded in a simple binary way: If any subtopic of one of the CyBOK’s 19 KAs is mentioned – no matter how minor it is treated – a checkmark for this area is noted in Figure 1. The software tool MaxQDA and its feature “lexical search” have been utilized for our content analysis and supported the efficient review of the 14 curricula (guidelines): Using a broad set of search terms, relevant text passages are automatically selected, but manually analyzed and coded if applicable.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Our results are illustrated in Figure 1: All of the analysed curricula (guidelines) focus especially on two of the five broad categories of the CyBOK, “Human, Organisational and Regulatory Aspects” as well as “Attacks & Defences”. There is little or no match on categories that may be considered more in-depth – for the big exception of cryptography, which is included in the majority of curricula, but involves any of its topics at any level; e.g. the knowledge area “Risk Management & Governance” is mentioned in most curricula, but all of them keep the term “risk” at a very intuitive level, often without any probabilistic considerations of occurrence or any assessment of the potential extent of damage. A reasonable, in-depth risk analysis of threats in real-life situations is missing in all curricula.

4 CONCLUSION
Our analysis provides insights about the status of IT security and its representation in today’s curricula – and how it compares to a body of foundational knowledge from a scientific view. Our further research on IT security in secondary education will focus on exploring security related topics, which are important for every student, every teacher, every member of today’s digital world.
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